



Home Office

EU Settlement Scheme: derivative right to reside (Chen and Ibrahim/Teixeira cases)

Version 3.0

Contents

Contents.....	2
About this guidance.....	6
The best interests of the child	6
Contacts	6
Clearance and publication	7
Changes from last version of this guidance	7
Introduction.....	8
Who is a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’?	10
Chen primary carers: regulation 16(2)	13
Ibrahim and Teixeira children and primary carers: regulation 16(3) and (4).....	14
Dependant of the primary carer: regulation 16(6).....	15
Making an application: deadline	16
Initial eligibility requirements	17
Exempt person.....	17
Indefinite leave to enter or remain as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’..	18
Limited leave to enter or remain as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’	19
Evidence.....	19
Eligibility: Chen primary carer	21
Stage 1: EEA citizen child	23
EEA citizenship	23
Age	24
Conclusion	24
Stage 2: self-sufficiency	25
Income from the primary carer	25
Income from other sources.....	25
Comprehensive sickness insurance.....	25
An unreasonable burden on the UK’s social assistance system?	25
Conclusion	26
Stage 3: direct relative or legal guardian	28
Assessing ‘direct relative’ or ‘legal guardian’	28
Conclusion	28
Stage 4: primary carer.....	29
Primary carer.....	29
Conclusion	29
Stage 5: EEA citizen child unable to remain in the UK	30

Alternative care arrangements	30
Conclusion on stage 5	31
Overall conclusion.....	31
Decision	32
Suitability requirements	32
Indefinite leave to enter or remain.....	32
Limited leave to enter or remain.....	32
Refusal	32
Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira child.....	34
Stage 1: child of an EEA citizen.....	35
EEA citizenship	35
Adopted children and step-children.....	36
Relationship between child and EEA citizen.....	36
Conclusion	36
Stage 2: child in the UK when EEA citizen was a worker	37
EEA worker	37
Child in UK	37
Conclusion	37
Stage 3: child in education	39
Education	39
Conclusion on stage 3	39
Overall conclusion.....	39
Decision	41
Suitability requirements	41
Indefinite leave to enter or remain.....	41
Limited leave to enter or remain.....	41
Refusal	41
Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer	43
Stage 1: direct relative or legal guardian	44
Assessing ‘direct relative’ or ‘legal guardian’	44
Conclusion	44
Stage 2: primary carer.....	45
Primary carer.....	45
Conclusion	45
Stage 3: child unable to continue their education in UK.....	47
Alternative care arrangements	47
Conclusion on stage 3	48

Overall conclusion.....	48
Decision	49
Suitability requirements	49
Indefinite leave to enter or remain.....	49
Limited leave to enter or remain.....	49
Refusal	49
Eligibility: dependant of the primary carer	51
Stage 1: applicant under the age of 18.....	53
Age	53
Conclusion	53
Stage 2: applicant without leave to enter to remain	54
Consideration	54
Conclusion	54
Stage 3: primary carer with a derivative right to reside.....	55
Primary carer.....	55
Consideration.....	55
Conclusion	56
Stage 4: primary carer prevented from residing in the UK.....	57
Alternative care arrangements	57
Conclusion on stage 4	57
Overall conclusion.....	58
Decision	59
Suitability requirements	59
Indefinite leave to enter or remain.....	59
Limited leave to enter or remain.....	59
Refusal	59
Direct relatives and legal guardians.....	61
Direct relative	61
Adoption orders.....	61
Legal guardian	61
Primary carer	63
Primary responsibility for a child by a parent	63
Other direct relative or legal guardian with primary carer responsibility	63
Court orders	63
Primary responsibility for adults	64
Sharing equal primary carer responsibility.....	64
Evidence of shared primary carer responsibility	65

Financial support.....	65
Two primary carers	66
Alternative care arrangements.....	67
Alternative care arrangements: Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira children	67
Other parent	67
Deceased parent.....	67
Direct relatives and legal guardians	68
Unsuitable care arrangements.....	68
Alternative care arrangements: dependant of a primary carer.....	68
Alternative care arrangements: adults	69
Alternative care	69
Conclusion	69
Assessing whether alternative care arrangements are appropriate	71
Chen and Ibrahim and Teixeira children	71
Dependency	71
Living arrangements.....	72
Level of dependency	72
Dependants of a primary carer.....	72
The child's best interests	73
Conclusion	74
Physical or mental impairment.....	75
Physical or mental impairment	75

About this guidance

This guidance tells you how, from 13 June 2022, to consider whether an applicant to the EU Settlement Scheme is a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as defined in the Immigration Rules for the scheme contained in [Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#).

The best interests of the child

The duty in [section 55 of the Borders, Citizenship and Immigration Act 2009](#) to have regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of a child under the age of 18 in the UK, together with Article 3 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, means that consideration of the child's best interests must be a primary consideration, but not the only consideration, in immigration cases. This guidance and the Immigration Rules it covers form part of the arrangements for ensuring that we give practical effect to these obligations.

Where a child or children in the UK will be affected by the decision, you must have regard to their best interests in making the decision. You must carefully consider all the information and evidence provided concerning the best interests of a child in the UK and the impact the decision may have on the child.

Although the duty in section 55 only applies to children in the UK, the statutory guidance – [Every Child Matters – Change for Children](#) – provides guidance on the extent to which the spirit of the duty should be applied to children overseas. You must adhere to the spirit of the duty and make enquiries when you have reason to suspect that a child may be in need of protection or safeguarding, or presents welfare needs that require attention. In some instances, international or local agreements are in place that permit or require children to be referred to the authorities of other countries and you are to abide by these and work with local agencies in order to develop arrangements that protect children and reduce the risk of trafficking and exploitation.

Further guidance can be found in paragraphs 2.34 to 2.36 of the [statutory guidance](#).

For further guidance on how to deal with applications concerning children, see: 'Applications in respect of children' in 'EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members'.

Contacts

If you have any questions about this guidance and your line manager or senior caseworker cannot help you, or you think that the guidance has factual errors, then email the EEA Citizens' Rights & Hong Kong Unit.

If you notice any formatting errors in this guidance (broken links, spelling mistakes and so on) or have any comments about the layout or navigability of the guidance, then email the Guidance Rules and Forms Team.

Clearance and publication

Below is information on when this version of the guidance was cleared:

- version **3.0**
- published for Home Office staff on **13 June 2022**

Changes from last version of this guidance

Changes have been made to reflect changes to Appendix EU made in Statements of Changes in Immigration Rules, up to HC 1118, laid on 15 March 2022, and the Court of Appeal judgment in Velaj.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Section 55 of the Borders, Citizenship and Immigration Act 2009](#)

[Every Child Matters – Change for Children](#)

Introduction

A 'person with a derivative right to reside' can apply for settled status (indefinite leave to enter or remain in the UK) or pre-settled status (limited leave to enter or remain in the UK) under the EU Settlement Scheme: also referred to in this guidance as 'the scheme'.

Persons with such a derivative right to reside are often described as 'Chen' or 'Ibrahim/Teixeira' cases after the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) judgments of those names: [Chen and others \(Free movement of persons\) \[2004\] EUECJ C-200/02](#), [Ibrahim C-310/08](#) and [Teixeira C-480/08](#).

The scheme makes separate provision for those with a derivative right to reside based on the CJEU judgment in [Zambrano](#). From 1 May 2019, a 'person with a Zambrano right to reside' has been able to apply under the scheme. Separate guidance – EU Settlement Scheme: a person with a Zambrano right to reside – must be used in considering such applications.

The EU Settlement Scheme is contained in Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules. This guidance is to be read in conjunction with EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members, in particular as to the requirements for the making of a valid application: see the section in that guidance on 'Making an application: validity'. These requirements are that:

- the application has been made using the required application process (which, in the case of a 'person with a derivative right to reside', is the required paper application form)
- the required proof of identity and nationality (or of entitlement to apply from outside the UK, where the application is made outside the UK) has been provided, by a relevant process for this set out in that form
- the required biometrics have been provided, by a relevant process for this set out in that form

Where you have established that the applicant has made a valid application and, using this guidance, is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been such a person was) a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU, you must then use EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members and, where relevant in the case of a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside' (see the next section), EU Settlement Scheme: family member of a qualifying British citizen to establish whether – subject to the reference below to rule EU13 of Appendix EU – they have either:

- completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years in any, or any combination of, qualifying category covered by condition 3 of rule EU11 (or, where relevant of EU12) of Appendix EU and so are to be considered for indefinite leave to enter or remain
- completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years in any qualifying category covered by condition 1 (or, where relevant, of condition 2) of rule

EU14 of Appendix EU and so are to be considered for limited leave to enter or remain

Under rule EU13 of Appendix EU, the reference to the applicant completing a continuous qualifying period of 5 years:

- in condition 3 of rule EU11 can include a period during which the applicant was a family member of a qualifying British citizen or a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a qualifying British citizen before becoming (as the case may be) a relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen, a family member of a relevant EEA citizen (or thereafter a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a relevant EEA citizen), a person with a derivative right to reside or a person with a Zambrano right to reside
- in condition 3 of rule EU12 (family member of a qualifying British citizen) can include a period (or combination of periods) during which the applicant was a relevant EEA citizen, a family member of a relevant EEA citizen, a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a relevant EEA citizen, a person with a derivative right to reside or a person with a Zambrano right to reside before becoming the family member of a qualifying British citizen (or thereafter a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a qualifying British citizen)

Where this guidance refers to the 'specified date', this means the end of the post-EU exit transition period at 2300 Greenwich Mean Time (GMT) on 31 December 2020 (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU, unless the applicant falls within certain sub-paragraphs of the definition there of 'family member of a qualifying British citizen' or sub-paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition there of 'relevant EEA family permit case'). Where the applicant is a 'person with a derivative right to reside' who falls within sub-paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition of a 'relevant EEA family permit case' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU:

- the reference to 'specified date' in the definition of a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU is to be read as meaning the date on which the person made a valid application under the EEA Regulations on the basis of which the EEA family permit or the EU Settlement Scheme family permit with which they arrived in the UK was issued
- the reference to a 'relevant EEA family permit case' in sub-paragraph (a) of the definition of 'continuous qualifying period' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU means that their continuous qualifying period of residence in the UK as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' can begin after 2300 GMT on 31 December 2020

Where this guidance refers to a 'supervening event', this means (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) that, at the date of application, either:

- the person has been absent from the UK and Islands for a period of more than 5 consecutive years at any point since they last either:
 - acquired the right of permanent residence in the UK under regulation 15 of the EEA Regulations

- acquired the right of permanent residence in the Islands through the application there of section 7(1) of the Immigration Act 1988 or under the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations of the Isle of Man
- completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years
- any of the following events has occurred in respect of the person, unless it has been set aside or revoked:
 - any decision or order to exclude or remove them from the UK under regulation 23 or 32 of the EEA Regulations (or under equivalent provisions of the EEA Regulations of the Isle of Man)
 - a decision to which regulation 15(4) of the EEA Regulations otherwise refers in respect of their right to permanent residence in the UK, unless that decision arose from a previous decision under regulation 24(1) (or the equivalent decision, subject to the equivalent qualification, under the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations of the Isle of Man)
 - an exclusion decision
 - a deportation order, other than by virtue of the EEA Regulations
 - an Islands deportation order
 - an Islands exclusion decision

Where this guidance refers to the ‘EEA Regulations’, it means (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) either:

- (where relevant to something done before the specified date) the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2016 (as they had effect immediately before that date)
- (where relevant to something done after the specified date and before 1 July 2021) the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2016 (as, despite the revocation of those Regulations, they continued to have effect, with specified modifications, by virtue of the Citizens’ Rights (Application Deadline and Temporary Protection) (EU Exit) Regulations 2020)
- (where relevant to something done on or after 1 July 2021) the Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2016 (as they had effect immediately before they were revoked and, where the context requires it, on the basis that those Regulations had not been revoked)

EEA and Swiss citizens (defined, together with certain others, such as certain dual British and EEA citizens, in Annex 1 to Appendix EU, and referred to in this guidance, as an ‘EEA citizen’) resident in the UK by the specified date can rely on their own continuous qualifying period of residence in order to qualify for leave under the EU Settlement Scheme. As a result, an applicant who needs to rely on the derivative rights provisions is likely to be a non-EEA citizen (defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU as a person who is not an EEA citizen and is not a British citizen), but an EEA citizen can still qualify under those provisions.

Reference in this guidance to ‘the Islands’ means (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) the Bailiwick of Guernsey, the Bailiwick of Jersey or the Isle of Man.

Who is a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’?

'Derivative rights' are derived from wider EU law rather than from the Free Movement Directive 2004/38/EC and have been confirmed by CJEU judgments.

A 'person with a derivative right to reside' is defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU as a person who has satisfied the Secretary of State, including (where applicable) by the required evidence of family relationship, that, by the specified date, they are (and for the relevant period have been), or (as the case may be) for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' (before they then became a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside') they were:

- resident for a continuous qualifying period in the UK with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations:
 - regardless of whether, in respect of the criterion in regulation 16(2)(b)(ii) of the EEA Regulations, the EEA citizen meets, or (as the case may be) met, the requirement in regulation 4(1)(c)(ii) of the EEA Regulations for comprehensive sickness insurance cover in the UK
 - regardless (where the person was previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as a person with a derivative right to reside and was under the age of 18 years at the date of application for that leave) of whether, in respect of the criterion in regulation 16(2)(b)(i) or regulation 16(6)(a) of the EEA Regulations, they are, or (as the case may be) were, under the age of 18 years
 - excluding a person satisfying the criteria in either: (i) paragraph (5) of regulation 16 of the EEA Regulations or (ii) paragraph (6) of that regulation where that person's primary carer is, or (as the case may be) was, entitled to a derivative right to reside in the UK under paragraph (5)

This definition covers so-called 'Chen' primary carers and their dependants under the age of 18 and 'Ibrahim and Teixeira' children, primary carers and those carers' dependants under the age of 18 but excludes 'Zambrano carers' and their dependants under the age of 18, for whom separate provision is made in Appendix EU as a 'person with a Zambrano right to reside'.

As above, Appendix EU allows an applicant to rely on past continuous residence in the UK as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' where, at the date of their application to the scheme, they are a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside'. In Annex 1 to Appendix EU, a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside' is defined – including as a qualifying category under condition 3 of rule EU11 – as a person who both:

- was a person with a derivative right to reside or, as the case may be, a person with a Zambrano right to reside, immediately before they became, as the case may be, a relevant EEA citizen, a family member of a relevant EEA citizen, a person with a derivative right to reside, a person with a Zambrano right to reside or a family member of a qualifying British citizen
- has since remained, to the date of application, in any (or any combination) of those categories or as a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a relevant EEA citizen or with a qualifying British citizen

There are therefore 3 key elements which must be met where an applicant to the EU Settlement Scheme relies on being or having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside':

1. the applicant must meet the requirements of that definition **throughout the continuous qualifying period in the UK** in which they rely on being or having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside'
2. that **continuous qualifying period in the UK** as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' must have begun before the specified date (2300 GMT on 31 December 2020), unless the applicant falls within sub-paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition of a 'relevant EEA family permit case' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU
3. the applicant must also meet **one** of the following, either:
 - (a) their **continuous qualifying period in the UK** as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' must be continuing at the date of their application under the scheme
 - (b) their **continuous qualifying period in the UK** as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' must have been continuing at the specified date and ended when the applicant completed a 5-year **continuous qualifying period in the UK** as such a person (and by the date of their application to the scheme there has been no supervening event)
 - (c) at the date of their application to the scheme, the applicant must be a 'person who **had** a derivative or Zambrano right to reside', meaning they were a 'person with a derivative right to reside' immediately before they met another qualifying category (such as the family member of a relevant EEA citizen) and have since remained in that or another qualifying category through to the date of their application to the scheme. In such cases, they can aggregate their continuous residence across these categories where eligibility for indefinite leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU is concerned

In principle, an applicant is not prevented from qualifying under the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' where they held non-Appendix EU leave (or had a realistic prospect of obtaining it, had they applied for it) during the continuous qualifying period in the UK in which they rely on being or having been such a person. In these circumstances, a fact-based enquiry is required looking at whether, in practice, the care recipient (or, as the case may be, the primary carer) would be (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' would have been) unable to remain in the UK (or, as the case may be, unable to continue to be educated in the UK or prevented from residing in the UK) if the applicant (or, as the case may be, both primary carers) were (or, as the case may be, had been) in fact required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Chen primary carers: regulation 16(2)

In the case of Chen, the CJEU found that the primary carer of a self-sufficient EEA citizen child is entitled to a right to reside under EU law, if refusing such a right prevents the child from continuing to reside in the UK. The conditions for this derivative right to reside are set out in regulation 16(2) of the EEA Regulations.

As set out above, Appendix EU refers to the relevant provisions of the EEA Regulations when defining a 'person with a derivative right to reside', with the exception in particular that Appendix EU does not require the EEA citizen child to hold, or have held, comprehensive sickness insurance.

Therefore, the applicant will be a 'person with a derivative right to reside' under Appendix EU (or, as the case may be, will have been such a person in being a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside') where, by the specified date, they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) resident for a continuous qualifying period in the UK with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying all the following criteria:

- they must not be and for the relevant period have not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) an ['exempt person'](#) (as defined in regulation 16(7)(c))
- they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the [primary carer](#) of a child, by both:
 - being their [direct relative](#) or [legal guardian](#)
 - having primary responsibility for their care or sharing equally the responsibility for their care with one other person
- that child:
 - is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) an EEA citizen under the age of 18, unless they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave
 - is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) resident in the UK
 - holds and for the relevant period has held (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they held) sufficient resources to prevent them becoming a burden on the UK's social assistance system during the period of residence, regardless of whether they meet (or, as the case may be, met) the requirement in regulation 4(1)(c)(ii) for comprehensive sickness insurance cover in the UK
 - would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the primary carer left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period

Ibrahim and Teixeira children and primary carers: regulation 16(3) and (4)

In the cases of Ibrahim and Teixeira, the CJEU ruled that the following persons have a right to reside under EU law:

- the child of a former EEA citizen worker where the child is in education in the UK
- their primary carer (who is the child's direct relative or legal guardian) where requiring the primary carer to leave the UK for an indefinite period would prevent the child from continuing their education in the UK

Regulation 16(3) of the EEA Regulations provides for a derivative right to reside for the child of a former EEA citizen worker.

Therefore, the applicant will also be 'a person with a derivative right to reside' under Appendix EU (or, as the case may be, will have been such a person in being a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside') where, by the specified date, they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) resident for a continuous qualifying period in the UK with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying all the following criteria:

- they must not be and for the relevant period have not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) an ['exempt person'](#) (as defined in regulation 16(7)(c))
- they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the child of a former EEA citizen worker
- they lived in the UK while their EEA citizen parent worked in the UK
- they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK

Regulation 16(4) of the EEA Regulations provides for a derivative right to reside for the child's primary carer.

Therefore, the applicant will also be a 'person with a derivative right to reside' under Appendix EU (or, as the case may be, will have been such a person in being a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside') where, by the specified date, they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) resident for a continuous qualifying period in the UK with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying all the following criteria:

- they must not be and for the relevant period have not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) an ['exempt person'](#) (as defined in regulation 16(7)(c))

- they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period were) the [primary carer](#) of a child of a former EEA citizen worker, by both:
 - being their [direct relative or legal guardian](#)
 - having primary responsibility for their care or sharing equally the responsibility for their care with one other person
- that child:
 - lived in the UK while their EEA citizen parent worked in the UK
 - is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK
 - would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue to be educated in the UK if the primary carer left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period

Dependant of the primary carer: regulation 16(6)

Regulation 16(6) of the EEA Regulations provides for a derivative right to reside for a child under the age of 18 of a primary carer with a derivative right to reside.

Therefore, the applicant will also be ‘a person with a derivative right to reside’ under Appendix EU (or, as the case may be, will have been such a person in being a ‘person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside’) where, by the specified date they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ they were) resident for a continuous qualifying period in the UK with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying all the following criteria:

- they must not be and for the relevant period have not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) an [‘exempt person’](#) (as defined in regulation 16(7)(c))
- they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) under the age of 18, unless they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as ‘a person with a derivative right to reside’ and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave
- they do not have and for the relevant period have not had (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they did not have) leave to enter or remain in the UK under the Immigration Act 1971, unless this was granted under Appendix EU
- their primary carer (who is also their direct relative or legal guardian) is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) entitled to a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4)
- the primary carer would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) prevented from

residing in the UK if the child left (or, as the case may be, had left) the UK for an indefinite period

Making an application: deadline

An application for indefinite leave to enter or remain or limited leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU must be made by the required date: see the section on 'Making an application: deadline' in the guidance on EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members, including the scope for an application to be made after the relevant deadline where there are reasonable grounds for the person's delay in making their application.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
EU Settlement Scheme: family member of a qualifying British citizen

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Chen and others \(Free movement of persons\) \[2004\] EUECJ C-200/02](#)

[Ibrahim C-310/08](#)

[Teixeira C-480/08](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2006](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Initial eligibility requirements

To be considered eligible for indefinite leave to enter or remain or limited leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' (or, as the case may be, in relying on past residence as such a person before moving into – and since remaining in – any, or any combination, of the other categories to which the definition of a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside' refers), the applicant must not be and for the relevant period have not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) an 'exempt person' under regulation 16(1)(a) of the EEA Regulations.

Exempt person

As set out in regulation 16(1)(a) of the EEA Regulations, a person who is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' was) an 'exempt person' cannot have (or have had) a derivative right to reside. An 'exempt person' is defined in regulation 16(7)(c) as a person who:

- has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period had) a right to reside in the UK under another provision of the EEA Regulations, for example, as a person exercising free movement rights as an EEA citizen or their family member: see [Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#) - in such a case, they will be an exempt person and so cannot be considered a 'person with a derivative right to reside', but you must then go on to consider whether they are eligible on other grounds for leave under Appendix EU
- has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period had) the right of abode in the UK under section 2 of the Immigration Act 1971 (the 1971 Act), because, for example, the person is a British citizen: see Right of abode - in such a case, you must treat the application as void, as a person with the right of abode cannot be granted leave under the scheme
- is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) a person to whom section 8 of the 1971 Act, or an order made under section 8(2), applies (or applied): see [persons exempt from control](#) - in such a case, they will be an exempt person and so cannot be considered a 'person with a derivative right to reside', but you must then go on to consider whether they are eligible on other grounds for leave under Appendix EU
- has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period had) indefinite leave to enter or remain in the UK, unless this was granted under Appendix EU. You can ascertain this by checking Home Office records - if they do, they may be eligible for indefinite leave to enter or remain under the scheme under condition 2 of rule EU11 of Appendix EU

If the applicant is (or for the relevant period was) an 'exempt person' on the basis that the first, third or fourth of the bullet points above applies (or for the relevant period applied), then you must, based on the information available to you, consider their eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant,

EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU, see: EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If they are (or for the relevant period were) such an 'exempt person' and they do not meet any other eligibility requirements for leave under the scheme, then you must refuse the application under rule EU6, without going on to consider the other eligibility stages in this guidance.

If the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or for the relevant period they were not) an 'exempt person', you must move on to consider whether they meet other relevant eligibility criteria set out below for leave under the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside'.

Indefinite leave to enter or remain as a 'person with a derivative right to reside'

The applicant meets the eligibility requirements for indefinite leave to enter or remain as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' (or, as the case may be, as a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside' who relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' before they moved into any – and have since remained in any or any combination – of the other categories to which the definition of a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside' refers) if, at the date of application, they meet condition 3 of rule EU11 of Appendix EU.

You must be satisfied that the following requirements are met:

- the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be – as described above, for the relevant period they were) 'a person with a derivative right to reside'; see [Eligibility – Chen primary carer](#), [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira child](#), [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer](#) and [Eligibility – dependant of the primary carer](#) below
- the applicant has completed a continuous qualifying period in the UK of 5 years as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' (or in any combination of the categories covered by condition 3, which, in some of those other categories, can include residence in the Islands as well as in the UK): see 'continuous qualifying period' in the section on 'Qualifying residence' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members (and see the paragraph below about rule EU13 of Appendix EU)
- since completing the continuous qualifying period of 5 years, no supervening event has occurred in respect of the applicant

As described above, the applicant's continuous qualifying period in the UK as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' must have begun before the specified date (2300 GMT on 31 December 2020), unless they fall within sub-paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition of a 'relevant EEA family permit case' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU.

Rule EU13 of Appendix EU provides that the continuous qualifying period under condition 3 in rule EU11 can also include a period during which the applicant was a family member of a qualifying British citizen or a family member who has retained the

right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a qualifying British citizen before becoming (as the case may be) a relevant EEA citizen, a family member of a relevant EEA citizen (or thereafter a family member who has retained the right of residence by virtue of a relationship with a relevant EEA citizen), a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ or a ‘person with a Zambrano right to reside’.

Limited leave to enter or remain as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’

The applicant meets the eligibility requirements for limited leave to enter or remain as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ (or, as the case may be, as a person who relies on having been a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ before they moved into any – and have since remained in any or any combination – of the other categories to which the definition of a ‘person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside’ refers) if, at the date of application, they meet condition 1 in rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

You must be satisfied that the following requirements are met:

- the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be – as described above, for the relevant period they were) a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’: see [Eligibility – Chen primary carer](#), [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira child](#), [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer](#) and [Eligibility – dependant of the primary carer](#) below
- the applicant is not eligible for indefinite leave to enter or remain under Appendix EU solely because they have completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years

As described above, the applicant’s continuous qualifying period in the UK as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ must have begun before the specified date (2300 GMT on 31 December 2020), unless they fall within sub-paragraph (b) or (c) of the definition of a ‘relevant EEA family permit case’ in Annex 1 to Appendix EU.

Evidence

Where, in order to evidence that they meet the eligibility requirements of Appendix EU as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’, the applicant submits a copy (and not the original) of a document, you can require the applicant to submit the original document where you have reasonable doubt as to the authenticity of the copy submitted.

You must also follow the guidance on ‘Consideration of applications: eligibility’ see: EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members to ensure that applicants are given reasonable opportunity in line with that guidance to provide the required evidence to establish their eligibility under the scheme.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Eligibility: Chen primary carer

Where the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were not) an 'exempt person', there are 5 stages you must consider when assessing whether, by the specified date, the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) resident with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations as a Chen primary carer by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance).

They are:

- [stage 1: EEA citizen child](#): assessing whether the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) an EEA citizen and under the age of 18, unless (where that requirement is concerned) they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave
- [stage 2: self-sufficiency](#): assessing whether the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) self-sufficient
- [stage 3: direct relative or legal guardian](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a direct relative or legal guardian of the child
- [stage 4: primary carer](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer of the child
- [stage 5: EEA child unable to remain in the UK](#): assessing whether, in practice, the EEA citizen child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the applicant was (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period

The applicant must meet these criteria for the whole continuous qualifying period in the UK in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in order to be eligible for leave under the scheme as such a person (or, as the case may be, as a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside').

If the applicant needs to provide more information or evidence than the application contains of their eligibility as a 'person with a derivative right to reside': see the section on 'Consideration of applications: eligibility' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016
Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Stage 1: EEA citizen child

The first stage is to consider whether the relevant child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' the relevant child was) an European Economic Area (EEA) citizen and under the age of 18, unless (where that requirement is concerned) they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave.

EEA citizenship

Under regulation 2(1) of the EEA Regulations, an 'EEA national' is either:

- a) a national of an EEA State (which means a member State, other than the UK when it was a member; or Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway or Switzerland) who is not also a British citizen
- b) a national of an EEA State who is also a British citizen and who prior to acquiring British citizenship exercised a right to reside as such a national, in accordance with regulation 14 or 15
save that a person does not fall within paragraph (b) if the EEA State of which they are a national became a member State after that person acquired British citizenship

So, for the purposes of this guidance, under the EU Settlement Scheme, an 'EEA citizen' (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) is a person who is (and, throughout any continuous qualifying period relied upon, was) either:

- a national of: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Republic of Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden or Switzerland, and not also a British citizen
- a 'relevant naturalised British citizen' (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU), that is a 'Lounes dual national'

A 'McCarthy dual national' and a 'relevant person of Northern Ireland' – as referred to in sub-paragraphs (c) and (d) of the definition of 'EEA citizen' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU – are not included in the definition of an 'EEA national' in regulation 2(1) of the EEA Regulations. A primary carer of such a person does not have a right to reside in the UK as a Chen primary carer.

To satisfy you of the EEA citizenship of the relevant child, the applicant can, for example, provide the valid passport or the valid national identity card of the child as an EEA citizen, or the EU Settlement Scheme application number of the child where they have applied for or been granted leave as an EEA citizen under the scheme.

Age

To satisfy you that, by the specified date and at the date of application, the child is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in completing a 5-year continuous qualifying period as such a person, or immediately before they met another qualifying category, they were) under the age of 18 – unless they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave – the applicant can, for example, provide the valid passport or valid national identity card of the child; the child's valid residence document issued under the EEA Regulations; the child's birth certificate; or the EU Settlement Scheme application number of the child where they have applied for or been granted leave under the scheme.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the relevant child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) an EEA citizen under the age of 18, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 2: self-sufficiency

The second stage is to assess whether the relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen child has and for the relevant period has had (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ the relevant EEA citizen child had) sufficient resources for them not to become (or have become) an unreasonable burden on the UK’s social assistance system during the period of residence.

Income from the primary carer

The applicant may show that the relevant child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) self-sufficient by relying on the income of the applicant.

In light of the CJEU judgment in Bajratari, the primary carer may rely on funds accrued from ‘unlawful employment’, such as employment without permission, provided that it did not involve engaging in a criminal activity.

Income from other sources

If the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were not) working in the UK, or does (or did) not earn enough income to demonstrate that the child and they are and for the relevant period have been (or for the relevant period were) self-sufficient, you may accept other evidence which may include:

- bank statements showing income from other sources, for example rental income
- savings accounts showing funds which are accessible to the child

This is not an exhaustive list and there may be other evidence of funds which can be considered acceptable.

Comprehensive sickness insurance

As set out in Annex 1 to Appendix EU, an individual applying as a ‘person with a derivative right to reside’ based on Chen does not need to show that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance. You must not seek evidence of this.

An unreasonable burden on the UK’s social assistance system?

You must consider whether the relevant child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a beneficiary of the UK’s social assistance system, directly or via the primary carer, and whether, in light of the

CJEU judgment in Bajratari, that makes (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period made) the child an **unreasonable** burden on that system during the period of residence.

Where funds of the primary carer are from the UK's social assistance system, irrespective of the fact that the child is not themselves the claimant, the child can, in principle, be considered an unreasonable burden on that system if the amount of funds claimed is of sufficient magnitude that it is unreasonable for the UK to shoulder.

For these purposes, Asylum Support paid by the Home Office for the benefit of the child is to be considered part of the UK's social assistance system.

To assess whether the relevant child has and for the relevant period has had (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they had) sufficient resources to cover their essential needs – accommodation, food, clothing, school supplies, etc – for them not to become (or have become) an unreasonable burden on the UK's social assistance system during the period of residence, you must consider:

1. Does (or did) the child have sufficient resources in their own right without having recourse to social assistance?
2. If not, and together with (1), does (or did) the child have sufficient resources provided by the primary carer (and excluding any gained through criminal activity), without either the child or the primary carer having recourse to social assistance?
3. If not, has the child had (or did they have) recourse to social assistance directly or via the primary carer?
4. In light of (2) and (3), will the child become (or for the relevant period were they) an unreasonable burden on the UK's social assistance system during the period of residence?

You must make an individualised assessment in each case, looking at (i) the amount of social assistance received (or to be received) by the child and/or the primary carer and over what period; (ii) whether (where received by the primary carer) the child is (or was) a primary beneficiary of those funds; and (iii) whether it would be reasonable and proportionate to refuse the application on that basis in light of all the circumstances. In line with Bajratari, the decision must be necessary and proportionate in order to protect the public finances of the host state.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the relevant child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) self-sufficient, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11

(and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 3: direct relative or legal guardian

The third stage is to assess whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) the direct relative or legal guardian of the relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen child.

Assessing 'direct relative' or 'legal guardian'

Where the applicant has been issued with a residence card or an EEA family permit under the EEA Regulations on the basis that they are the direct relative of the relevant child, you can accept that this has already been confirmed and move to the next stage.

Where the applicant has not been issued with such a document or has been issued with one based on legal guardianship, you must be satisfied from information or evidence provided by the applicant that they are the direct relative of the relevant EEA citizen child or that they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the child's legal guardian.

See [direct relative or legal guardian](#) for more information on determining who is a direct relative or legal guardian.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the direct relative or legal guardian of the relevant EEA citizen child, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 4: primary carer

The fourth stage is to assess whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) the primary carer of the relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen child.

Primary carer

A primary carer is defined under regulation 16(8) of the EEA Regulations as a direct relative or legal guardian who either:

- has primary responsibility for the child's care
- shares equally the responsibility for the child's care with one other person

For information on assessing whether a person is (or was) a primary carer see [Primary carer](#).

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer of the relevant EEA citizen child, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 5: EEA citizen child unable to remain in the UK

The fifth stage is to assess whether, in practice, the relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' the relevant EEA citizen child would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the applicant were (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

In line with regulation 16(2)(b)(iii) of the EEA Regulations, an applicant must only be considered a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as a Chen primary carer where, in practice, the relevant EEA citizen child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the applicant was (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Where the relevant EEA citizen child holds (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period held) leave to enter or remain in the UK which was not granted under Appendix EU, this does not in itself prevent the applicant from being eligible for leave under the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as a Chen primary carer. In these circumstances, in line with the Court of Appeal judgment in **Velaj v SSHD [2022] EWCA Civ 767**, a fact-based enquiry is required looking at whether, in practice, the child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the applicant (or, as the case may be, both primary carers) were (or, as the case may be, had been) in fact required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Alternative care arrangements

To assess whether, in practice, the relevant EEA citizen child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the primary carer were (or had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period, you must consider whether there are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there were) alternative care arrangements which could be (or could have been) made for the child in those circumstances.

If there are (or were) alternative care arrangements, you must then consider whether such arrangements are (or were) appropriate, including, in particular, in light of the [best interests](#) of the relevant child (and of any other child of the applicant affected by the decision). For further guidance, see [alternative care arrangements](#).

If there are (or were) appropriate alternative care arrangements for the relevant EEA citizen child, the applicant will not be a 'person with a derivative right to reside'.

Conclusion on stage 5

Where you are satisfied that, in practice, the EEA citizen child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to remain in the UK if the applicant were (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period, you must move on to the overall conclusion below.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to that stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Overall conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the application meets the requirements of stages 1 to 5, you must then use EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members to establish whether (as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' or in any combination of that and other relevant qualifying categories) the applicant has completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years and so is to be considered for indefinite leave to enter or remain under rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12) of Appendix EU, or has completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years and so is to be considered for limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Decision

Suitability requirements

Before you decide the application, you must consider the suitability requirements. See EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements.

Indefinite leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with condition 3 of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, of rule EU12)
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant ILE (where the application is made outside the UK) or ILR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU2 of Appendix EU.

Where you are not satisfied that the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, of rule EU12), you must consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR under condition 1 (or, where relevant, condition 2) of rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Limited leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant does not meet the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with rule EU11 or EU12, but meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR in accordance with condition 1 or 2 of rule EU14
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant 5 years' LTE (where the application is made outside the UK) or 5 years' LTR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU3 of Appendix EU.

Refusal

Where a valid application does not meet the requirements for ILE or ILR, or for LTE or LTR, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira child

Where the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were not) an 'exempt person', there are 3 stages you must consider when assessing whether, by the specified date, the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) resident with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations as a child as an Ibrahim and Teixeira child by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(3):

- [stage 1: child of an EEA citizen](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the child of an EEA citizen
- [stage 2: child in UK when the EEA citizen was a worker](#): assessing whether the applicant lived in the UK while the EEA citizen was a worker in the UK
- [stage 3: child in education](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK

The applicant must meet these criteria for the whole continuous qualifying period in the UK in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in order to be eligible for leave under the scheme as such a person (or, as the case may be, as a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside').

If the applicant needs to provide more information or evidence than the application contains of their eligibility as a 'person with a derivative right to reside': see the section on 'Consideration of applications: eligibility' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 1: child of an EEA citizen

The first stage is to assess whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) the child of an European Economic Area (EEA) citizen.

Where the applicant has been issued with a residence card or family permit under the EEA Regulations on the basis they are the child of the same EEA citizen, you can accept that this has already been confirmed and move to the next stage.

EEA citizenship

Under regulation 2(1) of the EEA Regulations, an 'EEA national' is either:

- a) a national of an EEA State (which means an EU member State, other than the UK when it was a member; Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway or Switzerland) who is not also a British citizen
- b) a national of an EEA State who is also a British citizen and who prior to acquiring British citizenship exercised a right to reside as such a national, in accordance with regulation 14 or 15
save that a person does not fall within paragraph (b) if the EEA State of which they are a national became a member State after that person acquired British citizenship

So, for the purposes of this guidance, under the EU Settlement Scheme, an 'EEA citizen' (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) is a person who is (and, throughout any continuous qualifying period relied upon, was) either:

- a national of: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Republic of Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden or Switzerland, and not also a British citizen
- a 'relevant naturalised British citizen' (as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU), that is a 'Lounes dual national'

A 'McCarthy dual national' and a 'relevant person of Northern Ireland' – as referred to in sub-paragraphs (c) and (d) of the definition of 'EEA citizen' in Annex 1 to Appendix EU – are not included in the definition of an 'EEA national' in regulation 2(1) of the EEA Regulations. A child of such a person cannot therefore have had a right to reside in the UK as an Ibrahim and Teixeira child.

To satisfy you of the citizenship of the EEA citizen, the applicant can, for example, provide the valid passport or the valid national identity card of that person as an EEA citizen, or the EU Settlement Scheme application number of that person where they have applied for or been granted leave as an EEA citizen under the scheme.

Adopted children and step-children

An adopted child (adopted in accordance with a 'relevant adoption decision' as defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU) and a step-child of an EEA citizen are to be considered in the same way as if they were the biological child of the EEA citizen with regard to Ibrahim and Teixeira.

A 'relevant adoption decision' is defined in Annex 1 to Appendix EU as an adoption decision taken either:

- by the competent administrative authority or court in the UK or the Islands
- by the competent administrative authority or court in a country whose adoption orders are recognised by the UK or the Islands
- in a particular case in which that decision in another country has been recognised in the UK or the Islands as an adoption

Relationship between child and EEA citizen

To satisfy you of the relationship between the child and the EEA citizen, the applicant can, for example, provide their birth certificate, their birth certificate and the marriage certificate for their parent and the EEA citizen (where applying as a step-child) or evidence of the adoption (where applying as an adopted child).

You must be satisfied as to the relationship between the child and the EEA citizen upon whom the child is basing their application.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the child of an EEA citizen, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)
[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Stage 2: child in the UK when EEA citizen was a worker

The second stage is to assess whether, by the specified date, the applicant lived in the UK at the time that their European Economic Area (EEA) citizen parent was a worker in the UK. It is not necessary for the parent to have been a worker at a time when the applicant was in education. The applicant must have been living in the UK while their parent was a worker here.

EEA worker

An EEA citizen is considered to be (or, as the case may be, to have been) a worker where they are (or were) a worker as defined under EU law. There is no minimum period for how long the EEA citizen must have been a worker in the UK, as long as the applicant was in the UK at the same time. Work undertaken in line with the EU8 and EU2 worker schemes is acceptable. For more information see: Qualified persons guidance.

A worker does not include the following for the purposes of regulation 16(3)(b):

- a jobseeker
- a person who can continue to be considered a worker where they have stopped working in line with regulation 6(2)
- a self-employed person

Child in UK

You must be satisfied that the child was resident in the UK at the same time as the EEA citizen was a worker here, and you must work flexibly with the applicant to help them evidence this by the best means available to them. For further information see the section on 'Evidence of residence' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that, by the specified date, the applicant was resident in the UK while the EEA citizen was a worker in the UK, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)
[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Stage 3: child in education

The third stage is to assess whether the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) in education in the UK.

Education

Under regulation 16(7)(a) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations, education excludes nursery education but includes education received before the compulsory school age where that education is equivalent to the education received from the compulsory school age. Attending a reception class is not considered nursery education and is therefore included.

To satisfy you that the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK, the applicant can, for example, provide a letter from the relevant education establishment(s) that confirms the date that they started education at that establishment and, if relevant, the date they completed their education there.

Conclusion on stage 3

Where you are satisfied that the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK, you must move on to the overall conclusion below.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to that stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Overall conclusion

Where the applicant is the child and you are satisfied that they meet the requirements of stages 1 to 3, you must then use EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members to establish whether (as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' or in any combination of that and other relevant qualifying categories) the applicant has completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years and so is to be considered for indefinite leave to enter or remain under rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12) of Appendix EU, or has completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years and so is to be considered for limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Decision

Suitability requirements

Before you decide the application, you must consider the suitability requirements. See: EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements.

Indefinite leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with condition 3 of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, of rule EU12)
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant ILE (where the application is made outside the UK) or ILR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU2 of Appendix EU.

Where you are not satisfied that the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12), you must consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR under condition 1 (or, where relevant, condition 2) of rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Limited leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant does not meet the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with rule EU11 or EU12, but meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR in accordance with condition 1 or 2 of rule EU14
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant 5 years' LTE (where the application is made outside the UK) or 5 years' LTR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU3 of Appendix EU.

Refusal

Where a valid application does not meet the requirements for ILE or ILR, or for LTE or LTR, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer

Where the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were not) an 'exempt person', there are 3 stages you must consider when assessing whether, by the specified date, the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) resident with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations as an Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(4):

- [stage 1: direct relative or legal guardian](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a direct relative or legal guardian of the child
- [stage 2: primary carer](#): assessing whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer of the child
- [stage 3: child unable to continue education](#): assessing whether, in practice, the child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue to remain in education in the UK if the applicant was (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period

You must first consider whether the relevant child meets (or, as the case may be, during the relevant period met) the requirements as an Ibrahim and Teixeira child where they do not (or, as the case may be, during the relevant period did not) hold leave under the scheme as such a 'person with a derivative right to reside'. See [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira child cases](#).

If so, you must then consider whether the applicant meets the requirements below (at stages 1 to 3) as an Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer.

The applicant must meet those criteria for the whole continuous qualifying period in the UK in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in order to be eligible for leave under the scheme as such a person (or, as the case may be, as a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside').

If the applicant needs to provide more information or evidence than the application contains of their eligibility as a 'person with a derivative right to reside': see the section on 'Consideration of applications: eligibility' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 1: direct relative or legal guardian

The first stage is to assess whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) the direct relative or legal guardian of a child of a former European Economic Area (EEA) citizen worker, where the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK.

Assessing 'direct relative' or 'legal guardian'

Where the applicant has been issued with a residence card or an EEA family permit under the EEA Regulations on the basis that they are the direct relative of the relevant child, you can accept that this has already been confirmed and move to the next stage.

Where the applicant has not been issued with such a document or has been issued with one based on legal guardianship, you must be satisfied from information or evidence provided by the applicant that they are the direct relative of the relevant child or that they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the child's legal guardian.

See [direct relative or legal guardian](#) for more information on determining who is a direct relative or legal guardian.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) the direct relative or legal guardian of the relevant child of a former EEA citizen worker, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 2: primary carer

The second stage is to assess whether the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were) the primary carer of the relevant child of a former European Economic Area (EEA) citizen worker.

Primary carer

A primary carer is defined under regulation 16(8) of the EEA Regulations as a direct relative or legal guardian who either:

- has primary responsibility for the child's care
- shares equally the responsibility for the child's care with one other person

Where the child is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) in education in the UK and they are (or were) under 18 years of age, it is considered that any such child would normally require the presence and care of a primary carer to continue to be educated in the UK.

Where the child is (or, as the case may be, for all or part of the relevant period was) over the age of 18, it would be reasonable to expect a higher threshold of care to be evidenced which, if it were unavailable, would mean the child would be (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK. Each case must be considered on its individual merits with reference to a senior caseworker.

For information on assessing whether a person is (or was) a primary carer, see [Primary carer](#).

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer of the relevant child of a former EEA citizen worker, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 3: child unable to continue their education in UK

The third stage is to assess whether, in practice, the relevant child of a former European Economic Area (EEA) citizen worker would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' the relevant child would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK if the applicant were (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

In line with regulation 16(4)(b) of the EEA Regulations, an applicant must only be considered a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as an Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer where, in practice, the relevant child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK if the applicant was (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Where the relevant child holds (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period held) leave to enter or remain in the UK which was not granted under Appendix EU, this does not in itself prevent the applicant from being eligible for leave under the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' as an Ibrahim and Teixeira primary carer. In these circumstances, in line with the Court of Appeal judgment in **Velaj v SSHD [2022] EWCA Civ 767**, a fact-based enquiry is required looking at whether, in practice, the child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK if the applicant (or, as the case may be, both primary carers) were (or, as the case may be, had been) in fact required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Alternative care arrangements

To assess whether, in practice, the relevant child of a former EEA citizen worker would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK if the applicant were (or had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period, you must consider whether there are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there were) alternative care arrangements which could be (or could have been) made if the applicant were (or had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

If there are (or, as the case may be, were) alternative care arrangements, you must then consider whether such arrangements are (or were) appropriate, including, in particular, in light of the [best interests](#) of the relevant child of the former EEA citizen worker (and of any other child of the applicant affected by the decision). For further guidance, see [alternative care arrangements](#).

If there are (or were) appropriate alternative care arrangements for the relevant child, the applicant will not be a 'person with a derivative right to reside'.

Conclusion on stage 3

Where you are satisfied that, in practice, the relevant child would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) unable to continue their education in the UK if the applicant were (or, as the case may be, had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period, you must move on to the overall conclusion below.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to that stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Overall conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the application meets the requirements of stages 1 to 3, you must then use EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members to establish whether (as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' or in any combination of that and other relevant qualifying categories) the applicant has completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years and so is to be considered for indefinite leave to enter or remain under rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12) of Appendix EU, or has completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years and so is to be considered for limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Decision

Suitability requirements

Before you decide the application, you must consider the suitability requirements. See EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements.

Indefinite leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with condition 3 of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, of EU12)
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant ILE (where the application is made outside the UK) or ILR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU2 of Appendix EU.

Where you are not satisfied that the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12), you must consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR under condition 1 (or, where relevant, condition 2) of rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Limited leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant does not meet the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with rule EU11 or EU12, but meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR in accordance with condition 1 or 2 of rule EU14
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant 5 years' LTE (where the application is made outside the UK) or 5 years' LTR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU3 of Appendix EU.

Refusal

Where a valid application does not meet the requirements for ILE or ILR, or for LTE or LTR, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Eligibility: dependant of the primary carer

Where the applicant is not and for the relevant period has not been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' they were not) an 'exempt person', there are 4 stages you must consider when assessing whether, by the specified date, the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) resident with a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(6), on the basis that they are (or were) under the age of 18 and their primary carer has (or had) a derivative right to reside:

- [stage 1: applicant under the age of 18](#): assessing whether the applicant is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) under the age of 18, unless they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as 'a person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave
- [stage 2: applicant without leave to enter or remain](#): assessing whether the applicant already has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period had) leave to enter or remain in the UK, unless this was granted under Appendix EU
- [stage 3: applicant's primary carer with a derivative right to reside](#): assessing whether the relevant person is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the applicant's primary carer and whether that person is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) entitled to a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4)
- [stage 4: primary carer prevented from residing in the UK](#): assessing whether, in practice, the applicant's primary carer would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or, as the case may be, had left) the UK for an indefinite period

The applicant must meet these criteria for the whole continuous qualifying period in the UK in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in order to be eligible for leave under the scheme as such a person (or, as the case may be, as a 'person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside').

If the applicant needs to provide more information or evidence than the application contains of their eligibility as a 'person with a derivative right to reside': see the section on 'Consideration of applications: eligibility' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 1: applicant under the age of 18

The first stage is to consider whether the applicant is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' was) under the age of 18, unless they were previously granted limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU3 of Appendix EU as 'a person with a derivative right to reside' and were under the age of 18 at the date of application for that leave.

Age

To satisfy you that, by the specified date and at the date of application, they are (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' in completing a 5-year continuous qualifying period as such a person, or immediately before they met another qualifying category, they were) under the age of 18, the applicant can, for example, provide their valid passport, their valid residence document issued under the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations or their birth certificate.

Where the applicant provides a residence document issued under the EEA Regulations, it does not matter, for the purposes of assessing the validity of the document, that, by virtue of the revocation of the EEA Regulations, the person concerned no longer has the right to enter or reside under those Regulations on which basis the document was issued.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant is (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) under the age of 18, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 2: applicant without leave to enter to remain

The second stage is to consider whether the applicant has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which they rely on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' had) leave to enter or remain in the UK under the Immigration Act 1971, unless this was granted under Appendix EU.

Consideration

To satisfy yourself that the applicant does not have (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period did not have) leave to enter or remain in the UK, unless this was granted under Appendix EU, you must check Home Office records to ascertain whether they have previously been granted such leave and, if they have, whether there is evidence that it has lapsed or been curtailed, cancelled or revoked.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant does not have (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period did not have) leave to enter or remain in the UK, unless this was granted under Appendix EU, you must move on to the next stage.

If the applicant already has (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period had) leave to enter or remain in the UK, unless this was granted under Appendix EU, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules
Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 3: primary carer with a derivative right to reside

The third stage is to consider whether the relevant person is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' the relevant person was) the primary carer of the applicant, and whether the relevant person is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) entitled to a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the European Economic Area (EEA) Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4).

Primary carer

A primary carer is defined under regulation 16(8) of the EEA Regulations as a direct relative or legal guardian who either:

- has primary responsibility for the child's care
- shares equally the responsibility for the child's care with one other person

Where the applicant has been issued with a residence card or an EEA family permit under the EEA Regulations on the basis the relevant person was their primary carer, you must still assess whether the applicant remains and for the relevant period remained (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they remained) the primary carer of the relevant Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira child.

For information on assessing whether a person is (or was) a primary carer, see [Primary carer](#).

Consideration

To satisfy yourself that the applicant's primary carer is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) entitled to a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4), you must consider whether they meet the eligibility requirements on the basis of Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira, see [Eligibility – Chen cases](#) and [Eligibility – Ibrahim and Teixeira cases](#).

To satisfy you of this, the applicant can, for example, provide the EU Settlement Scheme application number of the primary carer where they have been granted leave under the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside'. However, there is no need for the primary carer to apply first under the scheme and, where they have not been granted leave under the scheme, the applicant will need to satisfy you that the primary carer meets (or, as the case may be, for the relevant

period met) the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4) of the EEA Regulations.

Conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the applicant's primary carer is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) entitled to a derivative right to reside on the basis of Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira, you must move on to the next stage.

Where you are not satisfied of this, you must not move on to the next stage, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Stage 4: primary carer prevented from residing in the UK

The fourth stage is to consider whether, in practice, the primary carer would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period in which the applicant relies on having been a 'person with a derivative right to reside' the primary carer would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period.

In line with the Court of Appeal judgment in **Velaj v SSHD [2022] EWCA Civ 767**, in assessing this a fact-based enquiry is required looking at whether, in practice, the primary carer would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant were (or, as the case may be, had been) in fact required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Alternative care arrangements

To assess whether, in practice, the primary carer would be and for the relevant period would have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, you must consider whether there are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there were) alternative care arrangements which could be (or could have been) made for the applicant either in the UK or in another country.

If there are (or were) alternative care arrangements, you must then consider whether such arrangements are (or were) appropriate, including, in particular, in light of the [best interests](#) of the applicant (and of any other child affected by the decision). For further guidance, see [alternative care arrangements](#).

Where the applicant has been issued with a residence card under the EEA Regulations on the basis of their relationship with the relevant person, you must assess whether the relevant person would still be (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period.

Conclusion on stage 4

Where you are not satisfied that, in practice, there are (or were) alternative care arrangements which could be (or could have been) made for the applicant, and therefore you are satisfied that the primary carer would be (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period would have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, you must move on to the overall conclusion below.

Where you are satisfied that there are (or were) alternative care arrangements which could be (or could have been) made for the applicant, and therefore you are not satisfied that, in practice, the primary carer would be (or have been) prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, stage 4 will not be met.

In that case, you must not move on to the overall conclusion, but must instead consider the applicant's eligibility for leave on another basis under rule EU11 (and, where relevant, EU12) and EU14 of Appendix EU: see EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

If the applicant does not meet any of these other requirements, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Overall conclusion

Where you are satisfied that the application meets the requirements of stages 1 to 4, you must then use EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members to establish whether (as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' or in any combination of that and other relevant qualifying categories) the applicant has completed a continuous qualifying period of 5 years and so is to be considered for indefinite leave to enter or remain under rule EU11 of Appendix EU (or, where relevant, EU12), or has completed a continuous qualifying period of less than 5 years and so is to be considered for limited leave to enter or remain under rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Decision

Suitability requirements

Before you decide the application, you must consider the suitability requirements. See: EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements.

Indefinite leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9
- the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with condition 3 of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, of EU12)
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant ILE (where the application is made outside the UK) or ILR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU2 of Appendix EU.

Where you are not satisfied that the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR of rule EU11 (or, where relevant, EU12), you must consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR under condition 1 (or, where relevant, condition 2) of rule EU14 of Appendix EU.

Limited leave to enter or remain

Where you are satisfied that:

- a valid application has been made in accordance with rule EU9; and
- the applicant does not meet the eligibility requirements for ILE or ILR in accordance with rule EU11 (or EU12), but meets the eligibility requirements for LTE or LTR in accordance with condition 1 or 2 of rule EU14; and,
- the applicant is not to be refused on grounds of suitability in accordance with rule EU15 or EU16

you must grant the applicant 5 years' LTE (where the application is made outside the UK) or 5 years' LTR (where the application is made within the UK) under rule EU3 of Appendix EU.

Refusal

Where a valid application does not meet the requirements for ILE or ILR, or for LTE or LTR, you must refuse the application under rule EU6 of Appendix EU.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: suitability requirements

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Direct relatives and legal guardians

Direct relative

For the purposes of assessing whether, by the specified date, the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a 'person with a derivative right to reside' for the purposes of Appendix EU, a direct relative of the relevant European Economic Area (EEA) citizen child is:

- a parent
- a grandparent
- a brother or sister
- a spouse or civil partner (for example, in the case of Ibrahim and Teixeira where the individual in education is aged 18)
- a child
- a grandchild

This is an exhaustive list and no other type of family relationship may be accepted.

You must be satisfied, for example by the provision by the applicant of the relevant birth certificate or evidence of adoption, of the claimed family relationship between the direct relative and the relevant child. See the section on 'Assessing family relationship' in EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members.

Adoption orders

Where a recognised adoption has taken place, an adoption order is acceptable as evidence of a child's relationship to the adoptive parent. Step-children are not considered to come within the definition of 'direct relative' for the purposes of assessing whether they are (or were) a primary carer unless there is also an adoption order or a lawful guardianship order, as described below, in place.

Legal guardian

You must be satisfied, for example by the provision by the applicant of the relevant special guardianship order or other relevant guardianship order (that is a formal court order which vests parental responsibility or similar for a child in a person other than a parent of the child), that the person is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the legal guardian of the relevant child.

Related content

EU Settlement Scheme: EU, other EEA and Swiss citizens and their family members
[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

Primary carer

Primary responsibility for a child by a parent

Where an individual is applying as a 'person with a derivative right to reside' (or, as the case may be, is relying on having been such a person as 'a person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside') as the primary carer of a relevant child, in most cases it is likely that they will also be the parent of that child. Where this is claimed to be so, you must be satisfied, for example by the provision by the applicant of the child's birth certificate, that they are the parent of the child.

A parent who resides (or resided) with the child on a permanent basis and does not (or did not) share the caring responsibility for that child with another person can be accepted as having (or having had) primary carer responsibility for that child.

However, you must make further enquiries where there is either:

- evidence the child resides (or resided) permanently with another parent or carer
- evidence there is (or was) another parent in the UK who shares (or shared) responsibility for the child
- no evidence as to where the child resides (or resided)

It may be necessary to contact the other parent or carer before you decide who the child's primary carer is (or was).

Other direct relative or legal guardian with primary carer responsibility

Where the person claiming to be the primary carer of the child is not their parent, you must be satisfied that they are another direct relative of the child, or their legal guardian, with primary carer responsibility for the child, for example by the provision of a valid guardianship order or another valid court order which establishes their primary carer responsibility for the child.

An example of an alternative court order would be one transferring parental responsibility. Such court orders must be considered on a case by case basis to determine whether they establish that the person is the child's primary carer.

Court orders

A court order establishing primary carer responsibility for the child is most likely to be made in the context of family proceedings. The most common court orders seen during family proceedings are:

- child arrangements orders or (before that) residence orders – used to decide where, and with which parent, a child will live

- child arrangements orders or (before that) contact orders – used to decide when a party to the court hearing can have contact with the child, for example, at weekends or school holidays
- specific issue order – used to look at specific questions about the child’s upbringing, for example, what school they go to or if they should have religious education
- prohibited steps order – prevents a party from removing a child from a specific location, most commonly the UK but can be narrowed to city limits

If a court order establishes shared residence or that there is another parent in the UK who has contact with the child, further enquiries must be made, unless there is alternative evidence as to why the other parent is unable to care for the child.

Primary responsibility for adults

Where the applicant is claiming to be ‘a person with a derivative right to reside’ (or, as the case may be, is relying on having been such a person as ‘a person who had a derivative or Zambrano right to reside’) on the basis they are (or were) the primary carer of a person over the age of 18 years in education in the UK (Ibrahim and Teixeira cases), they may be (or have been) the spouse or civil partner of that adult or another direct relative.

Primary responsibility for the relevant person in education in the UK will be established in cases involving adults where the applicant can show they are responsible for the majority of that adult’s care.

The level of evidence required to demonstrate primary responsibility for the relevant person’s care and dependency will be higher in cases involving adults than in those involving children. In such cases, only evidence that shows the relevant person’s dependency on the applicant is due to a severe physical or mental disability is likely to satisfy you that they require (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period required) the care of the applicant.

For more information on physical or mental impairment see [Physical or mental impairment](#).

Sharing equal primary carer responsibility

Two people can be considered to share equally primary carer responsibility, in line with regulation 16(8)(b)(ii) of the EEA Regulations, where they both share equally the responsibility for the care and welfare of the relevant person, in the long-term and on a day-to-day basis.

In the case of a child, this includes things like deciding where the child lives, choosing what school they attend, deciding how and where the child spends time outside school, and authorising medical treatment or a school trip. In the case of an adult, this includes things like deciding where the person lives, and arranging and being involved in their medical care.

Two people who spend different amounts of time with the relevant person (for example where the relevant person lives with one parent during the week and the other at weekends) may still have equal primary carer responsibility. Where a child lives with two parents, the parents will usually be considered to share equal primary carer responsibility for the child, even where one parent works and the other does not. Two people who spend different amounts of time with the relevant person who is an adult (for example where the relevant person lives with one child, but another child is also heavily involved in the relevant person's medical care) may also still have equal primary carer responsibility for the person.

Circumstances must be considered on a case by case basis.

Evidence of shared primary carer responsibility

A person will generally be considered to share equal primary carer responsibility where:

- both individuals are living together in the same household with the child
- the individuals share responsibility for the child – evidence of this may include (but is not limited to):
 - a custody agreement or court order
 - statement or statements from the individuals to this effect

Equal primary carer responsibility does not mean there has to be evidence of equal sharing of responsibilities, as this is not always practical. For example, a child may reside with their mother during the week and their father at weekends or they may reside with the mother full-time, but the father has regular contact with the child. Whilst the father may not provide most of the care for the child, in both examples, the father is actively involved in the child's life. In such cases, unless there is evidence to indicate the father is unable to care for the child, it can be accepted that both parents share equal primary carer responsibility.

Or, for example, a dependent parent might live with one child full-time, but another child has regular contact with their parent and is involved in their day-to-day care. Again, unless there is evidence to indicate the second child is unable to care for their parent, it can be accepted that both children share equal primary carer responsibility.

You must consider each case on its individual merits and consult your senior caseworker if you have any doubt whether primary carer responsibility for a child is equally shared.

Financial support

Under regulation 16(11) of the EEA Regulations, financial support alone will not bring a person within the definition of primary carer. For example, where a person only provides the child with financial support and has no day-to-day caring responsibilities, this is not sufficient to demonstrate that they are the child's primary carer.

Two primary carers

If there are two primary carers of the same child, they can, subject to the rest of this section, both be considered a 'person with a derivative right to reside' for the purposes of Appendix EU, even if they apply under the scheme at different times.

In line with regulation 16(10) of the EEA Regulations, a person does not have a derivative right to reside as a co-primary carer where, before they assumed equal care responsibility, the person with whom care responsibility is shared had already acquired a derivative right to reside as the primary carer of that child.

In addition, and in line with the Court of Appeal judgment in **Velaj v SSHD [2022] EWCA Civ 767**, where you are assessing an application based on there being two primary carers, you must undertake a fact-based enquiry, looking at whether, in practice, the child would be (or, as the case may be, would have been) unable to remain in the UK if both primary carers were in fact required to leave the UK for an indefinite period.

Both primary carers can also have a derivative right to reside for any period in which they are (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period were) the child's sole primary carer.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Alternative care arrangements

Alternative care arrangements: Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira children

Where an applicant has demonstrated that they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a primary carer of the relevant child, you must consider whether there is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there was) another person living in the UK, for example a direct relative or legal guardian, who can care (or, as the case may be, who could have cared) for the child.

Where there is (or was) another such person living in the UK, you must consider whether, if the applicant is (or had been) required to leave the UK for an indefinite period, it is (or would have been) appropriate, including, in particular, in light of the child's best interests, for that person to care (or have cared) for the child instead of the applicant.

Other parent

In all cases in which only one parent is applying to the scheme as a 'person with a derivative right to reside', you must establish the whereabouts and immigration status of the child's other parent (or, if the applicant is another direct relative or legal guardian, the whereabouts and immigration status of both parents for the relevant period). If this information is not held, you must ask the applicant to provide it.

Unless there is information that there is (or was) another direct relative or legal guardian in the UK who is caring for the child, or is (or was) able to do so, you can accept that there are no alternative care arrangements for the child where there is evidence that the other parent either:

- is not a British citizen and does not (or did not) have another right to reside in the UK (unless under Appendix EU as a 'person with a derivative right to reside')
- is (or was) residing outside the UK

Where there is evidence to suggest that the applicant did not have permission to take the child out of another jurisdiction (for example, where a court in another country has ordered that the child live with the other parent or that neither parent may take the child abroad without the consent of each other or the court), you must contact the British Embassy or High Commission in that other country before deciding the application. The British Embassy or High Commission would need to contact the relevant authorities to determine whether the child needs to return to the other country.

Deceased parent

Unless there is information that there is (or was) another direct relative or legal guardian in the UK who is caring for the child, or is (or was) able to do so, you can accept that there are (or were) no alternative care arrangements for the child if the other parent has died. The applicant can satisfy you of this by, for example, providing the death certificate of that parent.

Direct relatives and legal guardians

You can accept that there is (or was) another direct relative in the UK who could care for the child (or, as the case may be, who for the relevant period could have done so) if that direct relative either:

- is currently caring for the child (or, as the case may be, who for the relevant period was doing so)
- has stated that they are able to care for the child and has had contact with the child within the last 12 months (or, as the case may be, who so stated during the relevant period and had had contact with the child within 12 months of the period relied on)

To be satisfied that the child has a legal guardian who could care for them in the UK (or, as the case may be, who for the relevant period could have done so) if the primary carer left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, you need for example to see evidence that a court has granted that person legal guardianship of the child.

Unsuitable care arrangements

There may be instances where there is another parent or direct relative who you would expect could care for the child (or, as the case may be, who for the relevant period could have done so) but they are (or were) considered unsuitable. For example, where there are (or were) child protection issues which would prevent (or would have prevented) the child being placed with them. In such cases it would not be appropriate to expect the child to live (or to have lived) with that person.

A lack of financial resources, a lack of willingness to assume caring responsibilities, or an assertion that a person would need (or, as the case may be, would have needed) to alter their working pattern would not, by itself, be a sufficient basis for a person to claim they are (or were) unable to care for the child. You must consult a senior caseworker in any such case before making your decision.

Alternative care arrangements: dependant of a primary carer

Where an applicant has demonstrated that they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) a dependant of a primary carer entitled to a derivative right to reside by virtue of regulation 16(1) of the EEA Regulations by satisfying the criteria in regulation 16(2) (save for the requirement that the relevant EEA citizen child holds, or held, comprehensive sickness insurance) or regulation 16(4), you must consider whether there is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there

was) another person living in the UK or elsewhere, for example a direct relative or legal guardian, who can care (or who could have cared) for the child.

To assess this, you must carry out the same assessment as in [Alternative care arrangements – Chen or Ibrahim and Teixeira children](#), but consider also whether there is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there was) another direct relative or legal guardian living in another country who can care (or who could have cared) for the child.

Alternative care arrangements: adults

Where a person has reached the age of 18 years, you can generally assume they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) capable of meeting their own needs. In some cases, the person may need (or have needed) the presence and care of that primary carer to pursue and complete their education. This is likely to occur where the person has (or had) a physical or mental impairment that means they are (or were) unable to care for themselves.

For further information on assessing a person's physical or mental impairments and how that impacts upon their ability to care for themselves, see [Physical or mental impairment](#).

Alternative care

Where a person has demonstrated they are a primary carer, you must consider whether there are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there were) alternative care arrangements for the person in education. Alternative care may include, but is not limited to:

- direct relatives or a legal guardian
- local authority care provider
- private care provider

You must consider each case on its individual merits and refer it to a deputy chief caseworker before you make your decision.

Conclusion

Unless there is information that there is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there was) another parent, direct relative, legal guardian (or, in the case of an adult, a local authority or private care provider) in the UK (or where relevant, outside the UK) caring for the relevant person, or that there is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period there was) such a person or body able to do so, you can accept that there are (or were) no alternative care arrangements for them. This means that the relevant person would not be able (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period would not have been able) to continue residing in the UK or to be educated in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period. Or in the case of a

dependant of a primary carer, the primary carer would be prevented from residing in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period. If you reach this conclusion, you can accept that, in respect of this element, the applicant meets (or, as the case may be, met) the definition of a 'person with a derivative right to reside' under Appendix EU.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Assessing whether alternative care arrangements are appropriate

Chen and Ibrahim and Teixeira children

Where alternative care arrangements for the relevant child are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period were) available, you must consider what would happen (or in the relevant period would have happened) to the child in practice if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period.

You must assess whether, on the balance of probabilities, it is more likely than not that the child would either:

- leave the UK (or in the relevant period would have done so)
- remain in the UK with the other person who can care (or for the relevant period who could have cared) for them

Dependency

A key consideration when assessing what would happen (or would have happened) to the child is the level of dependency between the child and the applicant.

Specifically, you must consider whether, given the level of dependency, the removal of the applicant would also compel (or would have compelled) the child to leave (or to have left) the UK even though there is (or was) another person who, in principle, could care for the child in the UK (or who could have done so).

When considering the level of dependency, you must consider the extent to which the child is (or for the relevant period was) emotionally attached to or otherwise dependent on the applicant and on the other person you have identified as able to care for them (or to have done so).

Relevant factors include:

- the child's age, the stage of their physical and emotional development and the level of care and support they require (or required)
- the level of emotional, physical and financial support the applicant and the other person currently provide to the child or have provided recently (or for the relevant period provided or had provided recently)
- who makes (or made) the decisions that affect the child's life
- who the child currently lives (or then lived) with and who they have lived with previously
- how much time the child spends (or for the relevant period spent) with the applicant and the other person who is (or was) able to care for them and how they spend (or spent) their time together

Living arrangements

Where the child lives and for the relevant period has lived (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they lived) in a household with the applicant and the other person who can care for them (or who could have done so), you will normally accept that the child is (or was) equally dependent on them both. However, if the other person has (or had) only lived with the child for a short time, you must consider their relationship with the child before they started living together to establish the level of dependence.

Where the child does (or did) not live with the other person, factors relevant to the level of dependency include:

- whether they have (or had) lived together before – if so, how recently and for how long
- whether the child stays (or stayed) with the other person – for example, in cases of shared custody
- their level of contact – including the nature of contact (for example, face to face, telephone, correspondence), frequency and duration
- other contributions the other person makes (or made) to the child's life – for example, making decisions affecting the child or providing financial support

Level of dependency

Depending on the information and evidence available, you may conclude that the child is (or for the relevant period was):

- entirely dependent on the applicant and not dependent on the other person at all, or vice versa
- much more dependent on the applicant and much less dependent on the other person, or vice versa
- slightly more dependent on the applicant and slightly less dependent on the other person, or vice versa
- equally dependent on the applicant and on the other person

Dependants of a primary carer

Where alternative care arrangements for a dependant of a primary carer are available, you must consider what would happen (or in the relevant period would have happened) in practice to the primary carer if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period.

You must assess whether, on the balance of probabilities, it is more likely than not that the primary carer would:

- remain in the UK (or in the relevant period would have done so)

- leave the UK with the dependent child (or for the relevant period would have left with the child)

Where the alternative carer lives (or lived) outside the UK, you can only conclude that, subject to the best interests of the child, the primary carer might remain in the UK (or in the relevant period might have done so) if the dependant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period if the dependant is (or was) more or equally dependent on that other person.

The child's best interests

Before deciding on whether the alternative care arrangements for a child under the age of 18 are (or were) appropriate, you must first consider what are (or were) the best interests of the child. The child's best interests are not on their own determinative of whether requiring the applicant to leave the UK for an indefinite period would mean (or would have meant) that the child would be (or would have been) unable to continue living in the UK. They are a primary consideration and must be considered as such, together with all the other information and evidence before you. You must consider any evidence provided in support of the application, which may include the child's own views.

When considering the child's best interests, you must consider the consequences on the child's everyday life if they are (or for the relevant period had been) separated from the applicant, for example:

- would they be (or have been) safe and well cared for and have (or had) access to any support they need (or would have needed) to cope with change?
- would they be (or have been) able to keep in contact with the applicant, for example through letters, telephone calls, instant messaging, and video messaging services such as Skype and FaceTime, email and/or visits?
- would they need (or have needed) to move home, and if so, how does (or did) the nature, quality and location of their current home compare with where they would live (or would have lived) in future?
- would there be (or have been) disruption to their education, for example could they keep (or have kept) attending the same school?
- would they be (or have been) able to keep in contact with their friends and family?

You must seek further information or evidence if you do not have sufficient information to assess the child's best interests. However, you can generally assume that it is (or for the relevant period was) in the child's best interests to:

- remain (or have remained) in the UK, unless they have (or had) equal or stronger ties to another country
- live with both parents or, if the parents live (or lived) apart, to have (or have had) contact with both parents, unless there are (or were) any child welfare concerns
- minimise disruption to their everyday life, unless it is (or was) in their best interests to change the status quo

Conclusion

When you have considered the child's level of dependency on the applicant and on the other person, and considered the child's best interests, you must draw an overall conclusion about whether the child would be (or would have been) unable to continue living in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period – or, in the case of a dependant of a primary carer, whether the primary carer would be (or would have been) prevented from living in the UK – if the dependant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period.

Where the child (or primary carer) would be (or would have been) unable to continue living in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, you can accept that, in respect of this element, the applicant meets (or, as the case may be, met) the definition of a 'person with a derivative right to reside' under Appendix EU.

Where the child (or primary carer) would be (or would have been) able to continue living in the UK if the applicant left (or had left) the UK for an indefinite period, the applicant will not be (or, as the case may be, will not have been) a 'person with a derivative right to reside'.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)
[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)

Physical or mental impairment

This section tells you how to consider applications on the basis that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer of a child of a former EEA citizen worker who is (or was) over the age of 18 in education in the UK (Ibrahim and Teixeira child).

In such cases the level of evidence required to demonstrate primary responsibility will be significantly higher. Only evidence that shows the adult child's dependency on the primary carer is (or was) due to a severe physical or mental disability is likely to satisfy you that they require (or for the relevant period required) the care of the applicant.

Such cases will need to be considered on an individual basis. You must refer cases involving a dependent adult to a deputy chief caseworker before you make your decision.

Physical or mental impairment

To satisfy you that they are and for the relevant period have been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period they were) the primary carer, the applicant can provide, for example, medical evidence from a registered consultant or specialist who is (or was) involved in the dependant's care outlining the medical condition, the level of care required, and who is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) providing the care for the dependent adult. This may be provided by the applicant, and any evidence of this nature must be:

- on letter-headed paper
- signed by the relevant consultant or specialist

You must also consider the level of support that the applicant is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) providing, including:

- when the applicant assumed caring responsibilities for the dependant
- what sort of care is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) being provided
- if appropriate, who cared for the dependant before this time and why that care cannot resume
- what other care is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) being provided by either another person, medical professionals, a local authority or a private care provider
- if no other care is and for the relevant period has been (or, as the case may be, for the relevant period was) being provided, what enquiries have been (or were) made with other direct relatives, medical professionals, the local authority or private care providers regarding the care for the dependant

If necessary, you must request additional information to establish the medical condition of the dependant.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Related external links

[Appendix EU to the Immigration Rules](#)

[Immigration \(European Economic Area\) Regulations 2016](#)