

From: Katherine Wilkinson - Strategic Development Engineer [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 May 2022 13:58
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: S62A-22-0000002 Highways consultation response 4797.pdf

Mark

Thank you for the e-mail below. I can clarify that the impact on the highway is acceptable for the number of dwellings (96) in the submitted application – with the suggested conditions in enhance sustainability. However, any further application seeking further dwellings would be required to provide evidence of the impact on the highway and I do not accept that 344 dwellings would generate the same number of trips as the former school. I put this in my response as I did not want a precedent set at this stage, through this application.

There were a number of items that required information or clarification concerning the layout and various access points, have these been forwarded to the applicants?

Kind regards

Katherine

**Katherine Wilkinson | Strategic Development Engineer
Strategic Development**



SAFER / GREENER / HEALTHIER

T: [REDACTED]
E: [REDACTED]
W: [REDACTED]

From: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 May 2022 11:13
To: Katherine Wilkinson - Strategic Development Engineer [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: S62A-22-0000002 Highways consultation response 4797.pdf

CAUTION: This is an external email.

Katherine

Thank you for your representations on this proposal, dated 25 May 2022.

The Inspector has one question of clarification. In the second paragraph you indicate that you are broadly in agreement with adopting a baseline as the existing lawful use of the school for the proposed quantum of development.

However, in your third paragraph, you indicate that this would not be acceptable for establishing the principle that 344 dwellings could be accommodated on the application site, in transport terms. Can you please therefore clarify – is it your position that the proposal, at 96 dwellings, is acceptable as a quantum of development and that the approach of using the school in operation as a baseline is acceptable? And, that your concerns only come into play with regard to trying to set the higher upper limit of 344 dwellings?

Please can you respond within the next 5 working days.

Kind Regards

Mark Boulton
Operations Manager – Plans, Inquiries and Costs

The Planning Inspectorate
Room 3/J Kite Wing, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol,
BS1 6PN

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate>

Twitter: @PINSgov

Email: mark.boulton@planninginspectorate.gov.uk